

Electrostatic Fields and Field Stress Control 'Introduction'

- Let's look at a simple geometry includes infinite parallel plane metal electrodes, which are separated by a distance y and contains two dielectrics ε_{r1} in thickness y_1 and ε_{r2} in thickness y_2 .
- We define a vector quantity related to the electrical flux, which is called electrical flux density, D. It is the quantity of electrical flux passing through a unit area.

 $D = \psi / A$, ψ : electric flux generated by a charge q

• The electrical field E is related to flux density D by the following mathematical relationship:

$$D = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r E$$

Electrostatic Fields and Field Stress Control 'Introduction'

• The flux density will be the same in each section of the dielectric:

$$D_1 = D_2 = D_0$$

• The electric field will change at the boundary:

$$E_{1} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r1}} D_{1} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r1}} D_{0}$$
$$E_{2} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r2}} D_{2} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r2}} D_{0}$$



• The voltage across dielectric 1 and 2 are:

$$V_1 = \int E.dl = E_1 y_1 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{r1}} D_0 y_1$$
$$V_2 = \int E.dl = E_2 y_2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{r2}} D_0 y_2$$

Electrostatic Fields and Field Stress Control ^{BIRZEIT UNIVERSITY} Electrostatic Fields and Field Stress Control

• The total voltage, V:





• We can now find E_1 and E_2 :

$$E_{1} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r1}} D_{0} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r1}} \left(\frac{V}{(1/\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r1})y_{1} + (1/\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r2})y_{2}} \right)$$
$$E_{2} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r2}} D_{0} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r2}} \left(\frac{V}{(1/\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r1})y_{1} + (1/\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r2})y_{2}} \right)$$



Electrostatic Fields and Field Stress Control 'Introduction'

• Implications

- In the geometry we considered
 - Uniform flux density
 - Difference in dielectric constant
- Electric field is higher in the dielectric with lower relative permittivity
- Electric field is lower in the dielectric with higher relative permittivity



Electrostatic Fields and Field Stress Control 'Introduction'

Example

• Calculate the values of electric field in a system where we have two infinite electrodes separated by a distance 1 mm with a voltage of a 1 kV across electrodes. Assume that a slab of dielectric with $\varepsilon_r = 3$ of thickness 0.9 mm is present in the air gap between the electrodes.



Electrostatic Fields and Field Stress Control 'Introduction'

$$\begin{split} E_{1} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r1}} D_{0}, \quad E_{2} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r2}} D_{0} \\ V_{1} &= E_{1} y_{1} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r1}} D_{0} y_{1}, \quad V_{2} = E_{2} y_{2} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r2}} D_{0} y_{2} \\ V &= V_{1} + V_{2} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r1}} D_{0} y_{1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r2}} D_{0} y_{2} = \frac{D_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0}} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{r1}} y_{1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{r2}} y_{2} \right] \end{split}$$



Electrostatic Fields and Field Stress Control 'Introduction'





- The dielectric material surrounds the conductor and we know that every dielectric material has certain dielectric strength which, if exceeded, will result in rupture of the dielectric.
- In general, the disruptive failure can be prevented by designing the cable such that the maximum electric stress (which occurs at the surface of the conductor) is below that required for short time puncture of dielectric.



- In case the potential gradient is taken a low value, the overall size of the cable above 11 kV becomes relatively large.
- Also, if the gradient is taken large to reduce the overall size of the cable, the dielectric losses increases very much which may result in thermal breakdown of the cable.
- So, a compromise between the two has to be made and normally the value of working stress is taken about 1/5 of the breakdown value for the design purposes.



- Assume that we have a cable with an inner conductor radius r and outer conductor radius R. (coaxial metal cylinders, infinite long)
- The area that flux passes through it increases as we move from inner to outer cylinder.
- Area per unit length $A(r') = 2\pi r'$.
- Therefore, the flux density is given by

$$D = \frac{\psi}{A(r')} = \frac{\psi}{2\pi r' l} = \frac{K_0}{r'}; \quad K_0 = \frac{\psi}{2\pi l}$$



• We can calculate the field or gradient behavior

$$E(r') = g(r') = \frac{D(r')}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r} = \frac{K_0}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r r'} = \frac{K}{r'}; \quad K = \frac{K_0}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r}$$

- Note that if we have a single dielectric the field distribution will not be affected. Depending on the geometry of our system, the magnitude and direction of our field can change with position.
- The total voltage, *V*:

$$V = \int E.dl = \int_{r}^{R} E(r')dr' = \int_{r}^{R} \frac{K}{r'}dr' = K \ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) \Longrightarrow K = \frac{V}{\ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)}$$



• Therefore the field is given by:

$$E(r') = g(r') == \frac{V}{r' \ln \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)}$$

• It is clear that the gradient is maximum when r' = r at the surface of the conductor, and is minimum at the inner radius of the sheath

$$E_{\max} = g_{\max} = E(r) = \frac{V}{r \ln \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)},$$

 $E_{\min} = g_{\min} = E(R) = \frac{V}{R \ln (R / r)}$



• In order to keep a fixed overall size of the cable (*R*) for a particular operating voltage, there is a particular value of the radius of the conductor, which gives minimum gradient at the surface of the conductor. The objective here is to find the minimum value of g_{max} :

$$f(r) = r \ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)$$
$$\frac{df(r)}{dr} = \ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) + r\left(\frac{-R}{r^2}\right)\frac{r}{R} = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{R}{r} = e$$



- Stable operation of the cable for particular ratios of r/R.
- What ratio of *r*/*R* leads to stable operation of the cable and what ratios will lead to unstable operation?
- Say the ratio corresponds to point Q. Now, due to some manufacturing defects say a thin film of air surrounding the conductor is trapped.
- Let the thickness of this film be *a*.





- Since the dielectric strength of the insulating material is taken about 40-50 kV/cm, the air surrounding the conductor is stressed and get ironized.
- Therefore, the effective radius of the conductor will be now r + a and the ratio will be (r + a)/R.
- The operating point now shifts to Q'.
- The stress on the dielectric material will increase and this may finally lead to rupture of the material





- Let now take a cable with a ratio of *r*/*R* such that it corresponds to point *P*.
- Now, due to some manufacturing defects say a thin film of air surrounding the conductor is trapped.
- Let the thickness of this film be *a*.





- The air surrounding the conductor is stressed and get ironized.
- Therefore, the effective radius of the conductor will be now r + a and the ratio will be (r + a)/R.
- The operating point now shifts to *P*'.
- The cable operate satisfactorily
- The satisfactory condition is: (r/R) < (1/e)





Example

• Determine the economic overall diameter of a single core cable metal sheathed for a working voltage of 85 kV is the dielectric strength of the insulating material is 65 kV/cm.



$$E_{\max} = g_{\max} = E(r) = \frac{V}{r \ln \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)} = \frac{V}{r}$$

$$r = \frac{V}{g_{\text{max}}} = \frac{85}{65} = 1.3 \text{ cm}$$

Diameter of conductor = 2.6 cmDiameter of sheath = 2.6e = 7.07 cm



• The field or gradient is given by:

$$E(r') = g(r') = \frac{V}{r' \ln \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)}$$

• Maximum and average fields or gradients, E_{max} and E_{avg} :

$$E_{avg} = \frac{1}{R-r} \int_{r}^{R} E(r') dr' = \frac{1}{R-r} \int_{r}^{R} \frac{V}{r \ln(R/r)} dr' = \frac{1}{R-r} \frac{V}{\ln(R/r)} \int_{a}^{c} \frac{1}{r'} dr' = \frac{V}{R-r}$$

$$E_{\max} = E(a) = \frac{V}{r \ln \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)}$$



• Filed inhomogeneity or non uniformity factor, η : It is defined as the ratio of the average field to the maximum field. It gives an indication about the efficiency of insulation used in the system.

$$\eta = \frac{E_{avg}}{E_{max}} = \frac{\left(\frac{V}{R-r}\right)}{\left(\frac{V}{R-r}\right)} = \frac{r\ln(R/r)}{R-r}$$



- We will consider 3 situations:
 - r = 10 m, r = 5 cm, r = 1 mm,
 - Thickness is 10 cm,
 - System voltage = 100 kV
- Recall the field equation:

$$E(x) = \frac{V}{x \ln \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)}$$



• Case 1: *r* = 10 m, *R* = 10.1 m

$$E(r) = \frac{V}{r \ln (R/r)} = \frac{100}{10 \times \ln (10.1/10)} = 1.005 \ MV \ / m$$
$$E(R) = \frac{V}{R \ln (R/r)} = \frac{100}{10.1 \times \ln (10.1/10)} = 0.994 \ MV \ / m$$
$$E_{avg} = \frac{V}{R-r} = \frac{100}{10} = 1 \ MV \ / m$$



$$E(r) = \frac{V}{r \ln (R/r)} = \frac{100}{5 \times \ln (15/5)} = 1.82 \ MV \ / m$$
$$E(R) = \frac{V}{R \ln (R/r)} = \frac{100}{15 \times \ln (15/5)} = 0.606 \ MV \ / m$$
$$E_{avg} = \frac{V}{R-r} = \frac{100}{10} = 1 \ MV \ / m$$



• Case 3: *r* = 1 mm, *R* = 101 mm

$$E(r) = \frac{V}{r \ln (R/r)} = \frac{100}{1 \times \ln (101/1)} = 21.7 \ MV / m$$
$$E(R) = \frac{V}{R \ln (R/r)} = \frac{100}{101 \times \ln (101/1)} = 0.215 \ MV / m$$
$$E_{avg} = \frac{V}{R - r} = \frac{100}{10} = 1 \ MV / m$$



- <u>General behavior:</u>
- At *r* becomes smaller, the field becomes more inhomogeneous: higher values close to the inner conductor and lower values close to the outer conductor.
- Note that the integral of *E* must be the same in all cases as *V* is fixed.
- Average field is unchanged. However, the insulator may be stressed above its breakdown strength close to the inner conductor as radius decreases.

525	<i>r</i> (m)	E _{max} (MV/m)	E _{min} (MV/m)	E _{avg} (MV/m)	η
2	0.001	21.7	0.215	1	0.046
5	0.05	1.82	0.606	1	0.545
r	10	1.005	0.994	1	0.996



Example

• Assume that we have a cable with inner conductor radius of 0.9 cm and an insulation thickness of 0.7 cm. if the breakdown strength of the insulation is 12 kV/mm, what is the maximum voltage that can be applied across the cable and then calculate the non-uniformity factor.



$$E(r) = \frac{K}{r};$$

$$V = \int E.dl = \int_{a}^{c} E(r)dr = \int_{a}^{c} \frac{K}{r}dr = K \ln\left(\frac{c}{a}\right) \Longrightarrow K = \frac{V}{\ln(c/a)}$$

$$E(r) = \frac{V}{r\ln(c/a)}$$



$$E(r) = \frac{V}{r \ln(c/a)}$$

$$E_{\text{max}} = E(a) = \frac{V}{a \ln(c/a)}$$

$$E_{\text{max}} = 12 \text{ kV/mm} = 120 \text{ kV/cm} = \frac{V}{0.9 \ln(1.6/0.9)}$$

$$V = 62.2 \text{ kV}$$











- Grading means the distribution of dielectric material such that the difference between the maximum gradient and minimum gradient is reduced.
- The cable of the same size could be operated at higher voltages, or for the same operating voltage a cable of relatively smaller size could be used.
- Methods of grading

Capacitance grading where more than one dielectric material is used

➢Intersheath grading where the same dielectric material is used, but potentials at certain radii are held to certain values by interposing thin metal sheaths



• If we have one single dielectric material, the gradient at any radius *x* will be:

$$E(x) = \frac{K_0}{\varepsilon x}$$

• If we could use an infinite number of materials with varying permittivities given by

$$\varepsilon = \frac{k}{x},$$

then, the gradient at any radius *x* becomes constant

• This is impossible, but normally 2 or 3 materials are used



- Let there be 3 materials placed at radii r, r_1 , and r_2 , respectively.
- Let the dielectric strength and working stresses of this material be G_1 , G_2 , G_3 , and g_1 , g_2 and g_3 , respectively.
- There are 2 possibilities:
 - i. The F.O.S, *f*, for all materials be the same, therefore the working stress of various materials different.
 - ii. The same working stress for different materials.





i) The gradients at r, r_1 , and r_2 , will be

$$g_1 = \frac{K_0}{\varepsilon_1 r} = \frac{G_1}{f}$$
$$g_2 = \frac{K_0}{\varepsilon_2 r_1} = \frac{G_2}{f}$$
$$g_3 = \frac{K_0}{\varepsilon_3 r_2} = \frac{G_2}{f}$$





 $\varepsilon_1 r G_1 = \varepsilon_2 r_1 G_2 = \varepsilon_3 r_2 G_3$ $r < r_1 < r_2 \Longrightarrow \varepsilon_1 G_1 > \varepsilon_2 G_2 > \varepsilon_3 G_3$

• This means the material with highest product of dielectric strength and permittivity should be placed nearest to the conductor and the other layers should be in the descending order of the product of dielectric strength and permittivity.





ii) The gradient at r, r_1 , and r_2 , will be

$$g = \frac{K_0}{\varepsilon_1 r} = \frac{K_0}{\varepsilon_2 r_1} = \frac{K_0}{\varepsilon_3 r_2}$$
$$\varepsilon_1 r = \varepsilon_2 r_1 = \varepsilon_3 r_2 \implies r < r_1 < r_2 \implies \varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \varepsilon_3$$

• This means the material with highest permittivity should be placed nearest to the conductor and the other layers should be in the descending order of their permittivities.



• The total voltage, V:

$$V = \int_{r}^{n} E(r')dr'_{1} + \int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} E(r')dr'_{1} + \int_{r_{2}}^{R} E(r')dr'_{1}$$
$$= \int_{r}^{n} \frac{K_{0}}{\varepsilon_{1}r'}dr'_{1} + \int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} \frac{K_{0}}{\varepsilon_{2}r'}dr'_{1} + \int_{r_{2}}^{R} \frac{K_{0}}{\varepsilon_{3}r}dr'_{1}$$
$$= g_{\max}r \ln\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r}\right) + g_{\max}r_{1}\ln\left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}\right) + g_{\max}r_{2}\ln\left(\frac{R}{r_{2}}\right)$$
$$= g_{\max}\left[r\ln\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r}\right) + r_{1}\ln\left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}\right) + r_{2}\ln\left(\frac{R}{r_{2}}\right)\right]$$



Example

• A single core covered cable is to be designed for 66 kV to earth. Its conductor radius is 0.5 cm and its 3 insulating materials A, B, and C have relative permittivities of 4, 2.5, and 4 with maximum permissible stresses of 50, 30 and 40 kV/cm, respectively. Determine the minimum internal diameter of the lead sheath. Discuss the arrangement of the insulating materials.



$$\varepsilon_{A}G_{A} = 4 \times 50 = 200$$

$$\varepsilon_{B}G_{B} = 2.5 \times 30 = 75$$

$$\varepsilon_{C}G_{C} = 4 \times 40 = 160$$

$$\varepsilon_{A}G_{A} > \varepsilon_{C}G_{C} > \varepsilon_{B}G_{B}$$

$$\varepsilon_{1} = 4$$

$$\varepsilon_{2} = 4$$

 $\varepsilon_{3} = 2.5$



$$g_{1} = \frac{K_{0}}{\varepsilon_{1}r} = \frac{G_{1}}{f}$$

$$g_{2} = \frac{K_{0}}{\varepsilon_{2}r_{1}} = \frac{G_{2}}{f}$$

$$g_{3} = \frac{K_{0}}{\varepsilon_{3}r_{2}} = \frac{G_{2}}{f}$$

$$\varepsilon_{1}rG_{1} = \varepsilon_{2}r_{1}G_{2} \Longrightarrow r_{1} = 0.625 \text{ cm}$$

$$\varepsilon_{1}rG_{1} = \varepsilon_{3}r_{2}G_{3} \Longrightarrow r_{2} = 1.330 \text{ cm}$$



$$V = \int_{r}^{n} E(r')dr'_{1} + \int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} E(r')dr' + \int_{r_{2}}^{R} E(r')dr'$$

$$= \int_{r}^{n} \frac{K_{0}}{\varepsilon_{1}r'}dr'_{1} + \int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} \frac{K_{0}}{\varepsilon_{2}r'}dr' + \int_{r_{2}}^{R} \frac{K_{0}}{\varepsilon_{3}r}dr'$$

$$= g_{1}r \ln\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r}\right) + g_{2}r_{1}\ln\left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}\right) + g_{3}r_{2}\ln\left(\frac{R}{r_{2}}\right) \Rightarrow D = 2R = 7.53 \text{ cm}$$



• An auxiliary transformer is used to maintain the metal sheath and the power conductor at certain potentials; thereby the stress distribution is forced to be different from one which it would be without the intersheaths.





- The objective is to show that the gradient with intersheath will be smaller than the gradient without intersheath for the same overall radius and the operating voltage.
- Since a homogenous material is being used, the maximum value of the stress at various intersheaths is the same.



• Let the thickness of the materials be such that

$$\frac{r_1}{r} = \frac{r_2}{r_1} = \frac{R}{r_2} = \alpha$$

• With this arrangement, the gradient at the surface of the conductor

$$g_{\max} = \frac{V - V_2}{r \ln(r_1 / r)}$$



• Similarly, the gradients at radii r_1 and r_2 respectively are

$$\frac{V_2 - V_1}{r_1 \ln(r_2 / r_1)} \text{ and } \frac{V_1}{r_2 \ln(R / r_2)}$$



• Since
$$g_{\text{max}}$$
 is the same at various radii

$$\frac{V - V_2}{r \ln(r_1/r)} = \frac{V_2 - V_1}{r_1 \ln(r_2/r_1)} = \frac{V_1}{r_2 \ln(R/r_2)}$$

$$\frac{V - V_2}{r \ln(\alpha)} = \frac{V_2 - V_1}{r_1 \ln(\alpha)} = \frac{V_1}{r_2 \ln(\alpha)}$$

$$\frac{V - V_2}{r} = \frac{V_2 - V_1}{r_1} = \frac{V_1}{r_2}$$



• V_1 in terms of V_2 $\frac{V_2 - V_1}{V_2 - V_1} = \frac{V_1}{V_2} \Longrightarrow \frac{V_2}{V_2} - \frac{V_1}{V_1} = \frac{V_1}{V_1}$ $r_1 \qquad r_2 \qquad r_1 \qquad r_1 \qquad r_2$ $\frac{V_2}{r_1} = V_1 \left(\frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{1}{r_1} \right) \Longrightarrow V_2 = V_1 \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2} + 1 \right)$ $V_2 = V_1 \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1\right) \Longrightarrow V_1 = V_2 \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}\right)$







• Now, the gradient at the surface of the conductor without intersheath

$$g = \frac{V}{r\ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)} = \frac{V}{3r\ln\left(\alpha\right)}$$

• Therefore,

$$\frac{g_{\max}}{g} = \frac{3}{\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1} < 1 \Longrightarrow g_{\max} < g$$





- Gradient with intersheath is lower than without intersheath for the same overall size and operating voltage of the cable.
- This means a cable of a particular size can be operated for higher voltages or for a particular voltage the size of the cable can be reduced.



• The voltage of the cable with this intersheath arrangement is given by $V = g_{\max} \left[r \ln\left(\frac{r_1}{r}\right) + r_1 \ln\left(\frac{r_2}{r_1}\right) + r_2 \ln\left(\frac{R}{r_2}\right) \right]$ $= g_{\max} \ln(\alpha) [r + r_1 + r_2]$ Metal sheaths



Example

• A 66 kV concentric cable with two intersheaths has a core diameter 1.8 cm. Dielectric material 3.5 mm thick constitutes the three zones of insulation. Determine the maximum stress in each of the three layers if 20 kV is maintained across each of the inner two.



$$V - V_{1} = g_{1,\max} r \ln(r_{1} / r) = 0.2956 g_{1,\max} = 20$$

$$V_{1} - V_{2} = g_{2,\max} r_{1} \ln(r_{2} / r_{1}) = 0.3085 g_{2,\max} = 20$$

$$V_{2} - 0 = g_{3,\max} r_{2} \ln(r_{3} / r_{2}) = 0.3165 g_{3,\max} = 66 - 40$$

$$g_{1,\max} = 67.60 \text{ kV/cm}$$

$$g_{2,\max} = 64.83 \text{ kV/cm}$$

 $g_{3,\text{max}} = 82 \text{ kV/cm}$

V r = 0.9 V_1 V_2 V_2 V_1 V_2 V_2 V_1 V_2 V_2 V_1 V_2 V_2 V_1 V_2 V_2 V_1 V_2 V_2